1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 10 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 11 BEHZAD HAFEZI, an individual, Case No. 14-cv-653-MMA-NLS 12 Plaintiff, R DISMISSING ACTION PREJUDICE PURSUANT VS. 13 FEDERAL RULE OF CIVIL UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, PROCEDURE 41 14 Defendant. 15 On April 14, 2014, Defendant United States filed an ex parte motion seeking 16 dismissal of this action on the ground that the case was settled. [Doc. No. 6.] 17 After receiving no response from Plaintiff Hafezi, the Court issued an order 18 directing Plaintiff to respond to the motion and inform the Court whether he 19 stipulated to dismissal of this action with prejudice. 20 21 On June 4, 2014, Plaintiff filed a response to the *ex parte* motion, wherein he indicated that the case had settled. [Doc. No. 9.] Plaintiff further stated that he 22 would file dismissal papers that same day. To date, Plaintiff has not moved to 23 24 dismiss this action. 25 /// /// 26 /// 27 28 ///

Based upon Plaintiff's clear intent to dismiss this case, the Court construes Plaintiff's response to Defendant's *ex parte* motion as a notice of voluntary dismissal pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a). Accordingly, good cause having been shown, this Court hereby **ORDERS** that Plaintiff's action against Defendant is **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**.

The Clerk of Court is hereby instructed to terminate this case.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: June 10, 2014

Hon. Michael M. Anello United States District Judge